REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the Official Action of December 19, 2003,

relating to the above-identified application.

Claim 22 has been amended in line 12 to specify that in the method of calculating an

infinite distance corresponding point, each infinite corresponding point is calculated

corresponding to each position on the first vertical line shown on the first image on the basis of

the deviation of the position of the second vertical line.

The rejection of Claims 1-23 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b), as anticipated by US Patent

5,915,033 to *Tanigawa* is traversed and reconsideration is respectfully requested.

The present invention relates to an apparatus and method for stereo matching and the

method of calculating an infinite distance corresponding point. As is known in the stereo

processing art, there is obtained a pair of photographed images with the stereo camera system

and generally the distance to an object is calculated as is explained in the application, beginning

on page 1. The application explains about the calculation of parallax and points out that an

important feature of the present invention is the presence of the address generator which sets a

search range for a stereo matching and instructs to read out from the memory a part of the second

image data which is within the search range and the first image data within the reference pixel

region. Thus, the address generator corrects a location of the search range for the reference pixel

region based on the amount of deviation of an infinite distance to a corresponding point with

respect to a horizontal position of the reference pixel region. This is pointed out on the bottom

of page 5 of the application.

Page 9 of 10

LIT/854510.1

App. No. 09/665,952

Amend. dated March 19, 2004

Resp. to Office Action dated Dec. 19, 2003

Applicants point out that this feature of the present invention is not shown in the cited

Tanigawa reference, although the said reference discloses a bias to the actual parallax and the

matching and shifting of the image area by the bias. However, the subject matter as a whole

which is defined by the claims in this application is not anticipated by the Tanigawa patent.

The Official Action attempts to correlate the elements of the present claims with the

disclosure in the '033 patent of Tanigawa. However, the Tanigawa patent does not describe the

invention as set forth in Claims 1-23 because it is lacking in the feature of the address generator

correcting a location of the search range for the referenced pixel region based on the amount of

deviation of an infinite distance corresponding point with respect to a horizontal position of the

referenced pixel region.

Applicants submit that the address generator and setting forth the details of the bias is not

disclosed in the cited reference. Consequently, applicants respectfully submit that the Official

Action fails to provide sufficient reasons for rejecting the claims in the present application.

Favorable action at the Examiner's earliest convenience is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP

Suite 3100, Promenade II 1230 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3592

Telephone: (404) 815-3593

Facsimile: (404) 685-6893